WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Stephen Karotkin (pro hac vice) (stephen.karotkin@weil.com) Theodore Tsekerides (pro hac vice) (theodore.tsekerides@weil.com) Jessica Liou (pro hac vice) (jessica.liou@weil.com) Matthew Goren (pro hac vice) (matthew.goren@weil.com) New York, NY 10153-0119 Tel: (212) 310-8000 Fax: (212) 310-8007  KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP Tobias S. Keller (#151445) (tkeller@kbkllp.com) Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566) (pbenvenutti@kbkllp.com) Jane Kim (#298192) (jkim@kbkllp.com) 650 California Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94108 Tel: (415) 496-6723 Fax: (415) 636-9251  Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession		
PG&E CORPORATION,  - and -  PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,  Debtors.  PAGE CORPORATION  Chapter (Lead Company)  STIPULATION  AND A FROM Related	ptcy Case 30088 (DM)	

Filed: 03/19/20 4 Doc# 6385 Entered: 03/19/20 19:37:15 Page 1 of 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This stipulation and agreement for order ("Stipulation") is entered into by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtor and debtor in possession in the above captioned cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), and Adam Cronin ("Cronin"). The Utility and Cronin are referred to in this Stipulation collectively as the "Parties," and each as a "Party." The Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

## RECITALS

- The Utility and its parent, PG&E Corporation (collectively the "Debtors"), filed A. these Chapter 11 Cases on January 29, 2019 (the "Petition Date").
- В. On June 18, 2019, Cronin filed a Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay [Dkt. No. 2582] (the "Motion"). The Motion sought relief from the automatic stay to permit Cronin to continue prosecuting against the Utility a civil case brought by Cronin that was pending as of the Petition Date in San Francisco Superior Court ("State Court"), styled Cronin vs. Pacific Gas and Electric Company et al., Case No. CGC 18-567919 (the "State Court Case")...
- C. In the State Court Case, Cronin seeks to recover damages from the Utility on theories of wrongful termination and employment discrimination. The Utility denies Cronin's allegations and disputes any liability arising therefrom.
- D. Following a hearing on the Motion, on July 29, 2019, the Court entered its order denying the Motion. [Dkt. No. 3241].
- E. On February 26, 2020, Cronin filed a Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay (the "Reconsideration Motion"). [Dkt. No. 5922]. In the Reconsideration Motion, Cronin renewed his previously denied request to modify the automatic stay to permit the State Court Case to proceed. [Dkt. No. 5922]. The Reconsideration Motion is set for hearing on March 25, 2020 (the "Hearing"). [Dkt. No. 6040].
- F. On the terms set forth in this Stipulation, the Utility will consent to relief from the automatic stay with regard to the State Court Case.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT HEREBY IS STIPULATED AND AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THE UNDERSIGNED, AND THE PARTIES JOINTLY REQUEST THE COURT TO ORDER, THAT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

it.

- 1. This Stipulation shall be effective upon entry of an order by the Court approving
- 2. Effective as of the later of entry of an order approving this Stipulation or April 1, 2020, the automatic stay shall be modified to permit the State Court Case to be litigated to final judgment, and appeals thereof, subject however to paragraph 3 of this Stipulation.
- 3. The automatic stay shall remain in place as to any judgment for monetary recovery issued by the State Court to Cronin, and Cronin's right to recover on or otherwise enforce any such judgment shall be governed by applicable law (including, to the extent applicable, a confirmed chapter 11 plan in these cases).
- 4. This Stipulation is without prejudice to any aspect of the underlying State Court Case, and nothing herein is intended to, nor shall it be construed to be, a waiver by the Debtors or Cronin of any claims, defenses, or arguments with respect to the same.
- 5. Upon entry of an Order approving the Stipulation, the Motion shall be deemed withdrawn and the Hearing shall be vacated.
- 6. This Stipulation shall become effective immediately in accordance with its terms, and the limited relief from the automatic stay provided herein shall become effective at the time provided in paragraph 2 hereof, notwithstanding any contrary effect of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 4001(a)(3).
- 7. In the event that the terms of this Stipulation are not approved by the Court, it shall be null and void and have no force or effect and the Parties agree that, in such circumstances, this Stipulation shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever in any proceedings.
- 8. This Stipulation shall be binding on the Parties and each of their successors in interest.

	1	This Stipulation shall constitute the entire agreement and understanding of	
		the Parties relating to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and	
		understandings relating to the subject matter hereof.	
		The Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or controversies	
	5 arising from this Stipulation or any Order approving the terms of this Stipulation.		
6			
	7	Dated: March 19, 2020 Dated: March 18, 2020	
	8	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
	9	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP	
	10	/s/ Peter J. Benvenutti	
		Peter J. Benvenutti	
	11	Attorneys for Debtors In Pro Per and Debtors in Possession	
4	12		
Weil, Go New York 75			
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
22 23 24 25 26 27	22		
	23		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
	,		

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 6385 Filed: 03/19/20 Entered: 03/19/20 19:37:15 Page 4 of